

West Virginia E-Filing Notice

CC-16-2022-C-16

Judge: C. Carter Williams

To: John-Mark Atkinson johnmark@amplaw.com

NOTICE OF FILING

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARDY COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA Jessica Liller v. Hardy County Commission CC-16-2022-C-16

The following complaint was FILED on 7/18/2022 6:35:23 PM

Notice Date: 7/18/2022 6:35:23 PM

Kelly Shockey
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
Hardy County
204 Washington St RM 237
MOOREFIELD, WV 26836

(304) 530-0230 kelly.shockey@courtswv.gov

COVER SHEET

Other:

I am proceeding without an attorney

✓ I have an attorney: John-Mark Atkinson, P.O. Box 549, Charleston, WV 25322

E-FILED | 7/18/2022 6:35 PM CC-16-2022-C-16 Hardy County Circuit Clerk Kelly Shockey

GENERAL INFORMATION IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARDY COUNTY WEST VIRGINIA Jessica Liller v. Hardy County Commission Business **✓** Individual Individual Business **First Plaintiff: First Defendant:** Government Other Government **✓** Other Judge: C. Carter Williams **COMPLAINT INFORMATION** Case Type: Civil Complaint Type: Other **Origin:** ✓ Initial Filing **Jury Trial Requested:** ✓ Yes No Case will be ready for trial by: 7/17/2023**Mediation Requested: ✓** No Yes **Substantial Hardship Requested:** ☐ Yes **V**No Do you or any of your clients or witnesses in this case require special accommodations due to a disability? Wheelchair accessible hearing room and other facilities ☐ Interpreter or other auxiliary aid for the hearing impaired Reader or other auxiliary aid for the visually impaired Spokesperson or other auxiliary aid for the speech impaired

SERVED PARTIES

Name: Hardy County Commission

Address: 204 Washington Street, Moorefield WV 26836

Name: Lucas J. See

Address: 204 Washington Street Room 104, Moorefield WV 26836

Days to Answer: 20 Type of Service: Filer - Private Process Server

E-FILED | 7/18/2022 6:35 PM CC-16-2022-C-16 Hardy County Circuit Clerk Kelly Shockey

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARDY COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

DI	ain	tiff

JESSICA LILLER,

v. Civil Action No. _____

HARDY COUNTY COMMISSION and LUCAS J. SEE, individually and as Prosecuting Attorney of Hardy County, West Virginia.

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

PARTIES

- 1. The Plaintiff, Jessica Liller, is a resident of Hardy County, West Virginia.
- 2. Defendant Hardy County Commission is a political subdivision created and existing under the laws of the State of West Virginia. W.Va. Code §7-1-1, et seq.
- 3. Defendant Lucas J. See is a resident of Hardy County, West Virginia and the elected Prosecuting Attorney of Hardy County, West Virginia.

FACTS

- 4. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Jessica Liller was employed by Defendant Hardy County Commission as a Legal Assistant/Office Manager to perform work in the Hardy County Prosecutor's Office.
- 5. As a Legal Assistant/Office Manager in the Hardy County Prosecutor's Office, the Plaintiff was supervised and directed by the Hardy County Prosecutor, Defendant Lucas J. See.

- 6. The Plaintiff's duties, responsibilities, time of work and manner of work were controlled by Defendant Lucas J. See.
- 7. The Plaintiff was an employee of both the Hardy County Commission and the Hardy County Prosecutor, Lucas J. See.
- 8. The Defendant Hardy County Commission is an "employer" within the meaning of the West Virginia Human Rights Act. *See* W.Va. Code §5-11-3(d).
- 9. The Prosecuting Attorney of Hardy County (currently Defendant Lucas J. See) is an "employer" within the meaning of the West Virginia Human Rights Act. *See* W.Va. Code §5-11-3(d).
- 10. Defendant Lucas J. See is a "person" within the meaning of the West Virginia Human Rights Act. *See* W.Va. Code §5-11-3(a).
- 11. During her employment, Plaintiff consistently performed her duties in a satisfactory manner and met the reasonable expectations of the defendants.
- 12. During her employment, the Plaintiff was subjected to unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal and physical conduct of a sexual nature by her direct supervisor, Defendant Lucas J. See. For instance, during her employment Plaintiff's supervisor Defendant Lucas J. See engaged in the following behavior:
- a) Defendant See frequently made vulgar comments of a sexual nature to the Plaintiff in the workplace.
- b) When Defendant See's father was sick, Plaintiff asked if there was anything she could do to help. Defendant See replied that Plaintiff could "suck his cock." Defendant See admitted making this statement to employees at the Courthouse.

- c) Defendant See frequently made comments about the Plaintiff's breasts, including requesting that the Plaintiff show him her breasts.
- d) Defendant See requested multiple times for the Plaintiff to let him suck her breasts.
- e) Defendant See requested multiple times for the Plaintiff to let him ejaculate on her breasts.
- f) Defendant See frequently touched the Plaintiff inappropriately at work, including groping her breasts.
- g) Defendant See frequently pulled his penis out at work and requested the Plaintiff to give him oral or vaginal sex.
- h) Defendant See used his position, power, stature and, at times, physical force, to compel the Plaintiff to engage in sexual acts with him. On each occasion where such acts occurred the interaction began with Plaintiff rejecting Defendant See's advances.
- i) At times Defendant See would lock the door to the office and/or block the Plaintiff's path of exit in order to initiate a sexual encounter with the Plaintiff. At times, Defendant See also physically restrained the Plaintiff in order to compel her to engage in sexual acts with him. Defendant See did not have justification or consent to restrain the Plaintiff to a bounded area.
- j) In December 2021 while attending a Prosecutors' Conference in Charleston, West Virginia, Defendant See blocked the Plaintiff from the exit in his hotel room. Defendant See then began to kiss the Plaintiff. When she stepped away and told

him not to touch her, he grabbed her arms tightly to restrain her before pulling out his penis, demanding the Plaintiff show him her breasts, and ejaculating on the floor.

- 13. During her employment, Plaintiff became aware of Defendant See sexually harassing another employee, Laiken Shoemaker, as well.
- 14. Defendant Hardy County Commission knew or should have known that Defendant See was engaging in inappropriate conduct of a sexual nature in the workplace. However, Defendant Hardy County Commission did nothing to address the behavior.
- 15. The actions of the defendants had the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with Plaintiff's work performance and/or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working environment.
- 16. In late 2021, the Plaintiff made a complaint to the West Virginia State Police regarding Defendant See's behavior. Subsequently, Laiken Shoemaker also informed the State Police of the sexual harassment she suffered at the hands of Defendant See.
- 17. Shortly thereafter, the Plaintiff and Laiken Shoemaker were placed off work by the Defendants. This action was taken as a direct response to the complaints of sexual harassment/hostile work environment.
- 18. Defendant Hardy County Commission was aware of the nature of the Complaint made by the Plaintiff and Ms. Shoemaker.
- 19. Defendant Hardy County Commission did nothing to investigate the allegations.

- 20. Despite its knowledge (or willful ignorance) Defendant Hardy County Commission chose not to pursue or enact a resolution to remove Defendant See from office pursuant to W.Va. Code §6-6-7(b)(1)(A).
- 21. To this date Plaintiff and Ms. Shoemaker remain off work while Defendant See continues to operate as the Hardy County Prosecutor without any repercussions.
- 22. The defendants failed to take appropriate action to address the complaints of the Plaintiff and Ms. Shoemaker and instead punished them for making the complaints.
- 23. Defendant Hardy County Commission, by its action and/or inaction, has ratified the conduct of Defendant See.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Sexual Harassment)

- 24. The defendants' actions/inactions as detailed above constitute sexual harassment and/or created a hostile work environment for the Plaintiff, in violation of the West Virginia Human Rights Act.
- 25. Alternatively, to the extent the defendants are not subject to the West Virginia Human Rights Act, the Plaintiff asserts that the defendants violated a substantial public policy in West Virginia against sexual harassment in the workplace. *See* Williamson v. Greene, 200 W. Va. 421, 423, 490 S.E.2d 23, 25 (1997).
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' aforesaid actions, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, loss of the benefits of employment in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' actions, Plaintiff is entitled to damages for indignity, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress,

annoyance and inconvenience (as well as all other permissible compensatory damages) in an amount to be determined by the jury.

- 28. Defendants acted with actual malice toward the Plaintiff or a conscious, reckless and outrageous indifference to the health, safety and welfare of others warranting an assessment of punitive damages against the defendants.
- 29. Defendants' actions were willful and malicious and violated the West Virginia Human Rights Act entitling the Plaintiff to attorney fees and costs pursuant to West Virginia Code §5-11-13 and/or the decisions of the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals.
- 30. Plaintiff's claims under the West Virginia Human Rights Act are permissible pursuant to W.Va. Code § 29-12A-4(c)(5).
- 31. The Governmental Tort Claims and Insurance Reform Act is inapplicable to this cause of action pursuant to the reasoning contained in <u>Kerns v. Bucklew</u>, 178 W.Va. 68, 357 S.E.2d 750 (1987).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Retaliation)

- 32. As described above, the defendants removed the Plaintiff from her position of employment because she opposed practices of the defendants that were in violation of the West Virginia Human Rights Act and/or made a complaint of sexual harassment/hostile work environment. This retaliation is a violation of the West Virginia Human Rights Act. See W. Va. Code §5-11-9(7).
- 33. Alternatively, to the extent the defendants are not subject to the West Virginia Human Rights Act, the Plaintiff asserts that the defendants violated a substantial public policy in West Virginia against age retaliation for engaging in protected conduct

under the West Virginia Human Rights Act. See Williamson v. Greene, 200 W. Va. 421, 423, 490 S.E.2d 23, 25 (1997).

- 34. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' aforesaid actions, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, loss of the benefits of employment in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' actions, Plaintiff is entitled to damages for indignity, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, annoyance and inconvenience (as well as all other permissible compensatory damages) in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- 36. Defendants acted with actual malice toward the Plaintiff or a conscious, reckless and outrageous indifference to the health, safety and welfare of others warranting an assessment of punitive damages against the defendants.
- 37. Defendants' actions were willful and malicious and violated the West Virginia Human Rights Act entitling the Plaintiff to attorney fees and costs pursuant to West Virginia Code §5-11-13 and/or the decisions of the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals.
- 38. Plaintiff's claims under the West Virginia Human Rights Act are permissible pursuant to W.Va. Code § 29-12A-4(c)(5).
- 39. The Governmental Tort Claims and Insurance Reform Act is inapplicable to this cause of action pursuant to the reasoning contained in Kerns v. Bucklew, 178 W.Va. 68, 357 S.E.2d 750 (1987).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Battery)

40. The actions of Defendant See as described above constitute battery.

- 41. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant See's actions, Plaintiff suffered physical and/or mental injuries.
- 42. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant See's aforesaid actions, Plaintiff is entitled to damages for, among other things, pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, indignity, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, annoyance, inconvenience as well as all other allowable compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- 43. Defendant See acted with actual malice toward the Plaintiff or a conscious, reckless and outrageous indifference to the health, safety and welfare of others warranting an assessment of punitive damages against him.
- 44. The actions of Defendant see entitles the Plaintiff to an award of attorney fees and costs.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (False Imprisonment)

- 45. The actions of Defendant See as described above constitutes false imprisonment of the Plaintiff.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant See's actions, Plaintiff suffered physical and/or mental injuries.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant See's aforesaid actions, Plaintiff is entitled to damages for, among other things, pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, indignity, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, annoyance, inconvenience as well as all other allowable compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by the jury.

- 48. Defendant See acted with actual malice toward the Plaintiff or a conscious, reckless and outrageous indifference to the health, safety and welfare of others warranting an assessment of punitive damages against him.
- 49. The actions of Defendant see entitles the Plaintiff to an award of attorney fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Jessica Liller prays for the following relief:

- Damages as set forth in her Complaint, including punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury;
- 2. Pre-judgment interest as provided by law;
- 3. Attorney fees and costs; and
- 4. Such further relief as this Court may deem just and equitable.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL ON ALL ISSUES TRIABLE TO A JURY.

JESSICA LILLER, By Counsel

/s/ John-Mark Atkinson Mark A. Atkinson (WVSB #184) Paul L. Frampton, Jr. (WVSB #9340) John-Mark Atkinson (WVSB #12014) ATKINSON & POLAK, PLLC P.O. Box 549 Charleston, WV 25322-0549 (304) 346-5100